

## Academic Program Review Council

Minutes of October 25, 2012 2:30-3:20 pm, Swenson 2005

Members Present: Jacobs; Li; Rosenberg; Stocker.

Excused: Iwen (class conflict)

**Motion** (Stocker/Li) to approve the minutes of October 11, 2012. **Motion carried.**

### **Natural Sciences Review, Chapter 4: Discussion:**

pp. 54-55: The tables have no context and are not explained; what do they signify?

Question 1: Suggestion to use the Career Services' Alumni Survey here.

Question 2: Suggestion: Chemistry should explain why the Forensic Chemistry major was introduced (in response to student needs and enrollment trends). Also, Earth Sciences is to be commended for its response to Question 2.

Questions 5, 6: The Council would have liked to see more data in support of the responses here.

### **Recommend revisions to the Self-Study document:**

It was suggested to group the individual questions within each chapter together at the beginning of the chapter, and invite an integrated response to all questions in a smooth narrative, more like the format of the HLC self-study sub-components.

Question 4 seems superfluous, since there is a university policy on complaint process.

Question 5 should be supplemented with a request for a blank course evaluation form.

**Next meeting:** Discussion of NS review, Chapter 5.