

Academic Program Review Council

Minutes of November 8, 2012 2:30-3:20 pm, Swenson 2005

Members Present: Jacobs; Li; Rosenberg; Stocker.

Excused: Iwen (class conflict)

Natural Sciences Review, Chapter 6: Discussion:

Question 2 (Vision): Specific goals, e.g. for curriculum development and lab equipment, would have been helpful for review by the Planning and Budgetary Council.

Question 4: A dollar amount should be given when stating the department's needs here.

Question 6: The response here would benefit from an increased level of detail.

Question 7: Some clarity around the level at which this question was asked would be helpful; the department's response appears to be directed at a level not intended by the question.

Question 8: The response here is not sufficient. The level of detail of responses seems to diminish as the self-study progresses.

Recommended revisions to the Self-Study document:

We may wish to ask for departmental assessment plans to be presented in an appendix of the self-study, in general.

Question 9 contains repetitive parts.

Next meeting: Formulate the Council's response to the Natural Sciences review; we should think about themes to address in our response. Bring our Spring 2013 schedules to the next meeting.