

## Academic Program Review Council

Minutes of October 4, 2012 2:30-3:20 pm, Swenson 2005

Members Present: Jacobs; Li; Rosenberg; Stocker.

### **Natural Sciences Review, Chapter 2:**

p.20: We commend the BIOL learning outcomes and performance criteria. However, Earth Sciences is behind on assessment.

p.32: The table showing # chemistry students as a fraction of the student body is misleading because the numbers are so small: these statistics are very volatile.

p.39: Question: How was the graduate survey conducted?

Comment: The model of peer review of examinations is interesting and possibly unique.

**Recommend revisions to the Self-Study document:** Question 11: We suggest replacing “preparation” with “planning”. Question 12: Eliminate “learning styles” language. The question “What process is in place for discontinuing programs” is inappropriate.

**Next meeting:** Discussion of NS review, Chapter 3.